



Note: Although this surname cluster is much smaller, it was very fortunate to have a very early branch in the descendancy chart. Around half of the submissions are 460=13 and the other half are 460=12. This descendancy chart looks more like a genealogical descendancy chart due to this early branch that divides this cluster. This chart will eventually begin to similar to the Irwin chart as this project progresses - only this chart will have two early branches that split the surname cluster into two major early branches.

Note: With the two early branches, the 11 submissions that have no branch defining mutations at least belong to one of two major branches. Since all submissions belong to one of two early branches, all submissions in this surname cluster rolled twelve when finding an early branch. Very few surname clusters have such luck with early branches.

Note: STRs only show common mutations that define branches. They rarely show how the branches are connected or the age of the branches. The above chart does look like a traditional descendancy chart since one mutation is shared by many unrelated (genealogically) lines. Branches B36 and B10, show early signs of multiple branches where the relationship between these branches and the age of these branches are unknown.

Note: The MRCA for this surname cluster is extremely young compared to most surname clusters and is estimated to be only 300 years old. This is probably the reason that this surname cluster reveals an early branch. There are two other Casey/Kersey surname clusters that appear to be distantly related under the L226 haplogroup.